- 1. Eliminate SIOP as single, automatic response in Central War.
- 2. Requires alternative plans.
- 7. Calls for plans providing for withholding of Survivable forces, initial avoidance of some enemy cities, initial avoidance of sovernmental/military controls.
- 4. Calls for President and SecDef to exercise authority over strate ic planning and strate ic direction; review JSCP and supporting plans, SOP's (alert, safety, "execute" message), monitor procedures.
- 5. Survivable, flexible CuC system; headed by President or as nigh, authoritativez a figure as possible.
- b. National objectives/will be defined/by nighest civilian authorities in the detail asyzrky formerly associated with time of war. Ambiguities in guidance to be resolved not by "agreement" among the JCS but by reference directly to the Pres or Sechec I. The enemy will to continue the war is to be reduced not by destroying all major urban-industrial areas at outset but by preserving and threatening them (and by preserving and threatening survival and control of leaders themselves). (Note requirements).
  - 8. Calls for strict positive control in fact, including physical safe wards against the possibility of unauthorized use of nuclear weapons, either in local or central war.
- 9. Calls for plans and preparations to use conventional weapons in local conflict, up to large-scale conflict (in audition to plans using nuclears).
  - 10. Deemphasizes nuclears for the deterrence and wasing of local war (though it does not preclude their use or exclude them from deterrent posture).
  - ll. Rejects concept that any single, anflexible plan be adopted for use in a wide range of circumstances of central war (let alone the SIUP), or that any given set of targets are marked for immediate, automatic destruction under all conditions of Central War (Rec.) Inflation of Central War
  - 12. Designation of enemy (target) nations will be by President, not Just

13. Rejects inevitability of Control War in war with 14 1707